

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/498,78	3 02/05/	00 COOK		D	99.509	
	WM31/1221 ☐			EXAMINER		
Litman Kraai & Brown LLC				JOYCE	OYCE,H	
4700 Bell Suite 200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ty MO 6411:	2		3749	2	
				DATE MAILED:	12/21/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	App	olication No.	Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summa	i i	498,783	COOK, DALE J.							
	Exa	miner	Art Unit							
		old Joyce	3749							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1) Responsive to communication	ı(s) filed on	•								
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This act	tion is non-final.								
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.										
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are objected to.									
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.										
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign prio	nty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).										
Attachment(s) 45) M Nelice of Defendence Cited (DTO 2002)										
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- 		19) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)							

Application/Control Number: 09/498,783

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Godeau et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Godeau et al. in view of Elkins. Godeau et al. discloses the claimed invention except that plastic is use instead of sheet metal. Elkins shows that sheet metal is an equivalent structure known in the art.

 Therefore, because these two material were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the sheet metal in Elkins for plastic of Godeau et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/498,783

Art Unit: 3749

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 18-20 are allowed.

Drawings

7. Figures 5a, 6a, 7a and 7b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Joyce whose telephone number is (703) 308-0274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3588 for regular communications and (703) 308-7764 for After Final communications.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/498,783

Art Unit: 3749

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Harold Joyce Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749

HJ December 16, 2000